#### Differently coated silver nanoparticles cause oxidative stress and induce cellular damage in tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum*) seedlings

**Renata Biba**, Petra Cvjetko, Mirta Tkalec, Karla Košpić, Petra Peharec Štefanić, Daniel Mark Lyons, Biljana Balen



## Silver nanoparticles (AgNPs)

- small size (1 100 nm) and unique physicochemical characteristics
- ightarrow application in many industrial sectors and daily life
- antibacterial and antifungal properties household products, food packaging, textiles, medical devices, antiseptics
- manufacturing and waste treatment plant environment use disposal
- growing consuption of AgNPs inevitebly increases the chance of their release into the environment

 $\rightarrow$  through plants they can bioaccumulate into the food chain  $\rightarrow$  threat to human health

## Phytotoxic effects of AgNPs



Tytoskeleto

Oxidation

Mitochondrial & Chloroplas dysfunction Inhibition of

#### Damage of photosynthesis

- reduced photosynthetic activity
- decreased ATP and NADPH synthesis

#### Changes in antioxidant machinery

- enzymatic antioxidants (SOD, CAT, APX, GR...)
- non-enzymatic antioxidants (proline, GSH, ascorbate...)



**Overall cellular effects** 

- excessive ROS formation
- disruption of cell membrane integrity
- damage to lipids, proteins and DNA



Changes in protein expression

- glycolysis
- respiration
- protein biosynthesis, folding and assembly
- pathogenesis response
- antioxidant response





## What affects AgNP phytotoxicity?



#### **Experimental conditions**

- plant species
- exposure period
- composition of nutrient medium, aqueous solution or soil



aggregation/agglomeration

dissolution

#### Aim

- determine the effects of two differently coated AgNPs [polyvinylpyrrolidone (AgNP-PVP) and cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (AgNP-CTAB)] on oxidative stress parameters of tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum* L.) seedlings and compare them to the effects of AgNO<sub>3</sub>
- distinguish differences in effects between silver applied in the form of nanoparticles and its ionic form by comparing the effects of AgNP-PVP and AgNP-CTAB to AgNO<sub>3</sub> applied at the same concentration



## Materials and methods



## Induction of ROS



**Figure 2.** ROS (A) and  $H_2O_2$  (B) content in tobacco seedlings treated with AgNP-PVP, AgNP-CTAB and AgNO<sub>3</sub>. Values are means  $\pm$  SE of six replicates. Small letters mark the differences among different concentrations of the same treatment type as well as control while capital letters mark the differences among different treatment types of the same concentration, according to Duncan test (P  $\leq$  0.05).

#### Non-enzymatic antioxidants



**Figure 3.** Proline (A) and glutathione (B) content in tobacco seedlings treated with AgNP-PVP, AgNP-CTAB and AgNO<sub>3</sub>. Values are means  $\pm$  SE of six replicates. Small letters mark the differences among different concentrations of the same treatment type as well as control while capital letters mark the differences among different types of the same concentration, according to Duncan test (P  $\leq$  0.05).

## Detection of $H_2O_2$



**Figure 4.** (A)  $H_2O_2$  detection in control roots of tobacco seedlings and roots treated with 100  $\mu$ M AgNP-PVP, AgNP-CTAB and AgNO<sub>3</sub>; shown pictures represent maximum intensity projection over 30-40 scans in "Z" dimension; bar = 282.6  $\mu$ m. (B) Total  $H_2O_2$  content in roots measured as total intensity of Z scan  $\pm$  SD.

В

# Detection of $H_2O_2$





**Figure 5.** (A)  $H_2O_2$  detection in control leaves of tobacco seedlings and leaves treated with 100  $\mu$ M AgNP-PVP, AgNP-CTAB and AgNO<sub>3</sub>; shown pictures represent maximum intensity projection over 30-40 scans in "Z" dimension bar = 154.5  $\mu$ m. (B) Total  $H_2O_2$  content in leaves measured as total intensity of Z scan  $\pm$  SD.

## Detection of $O_2^{-}$



**Figure 6.**  $O_2^{-}$  detection in control roots of tobacco seedlings and roots treated with 100  $\mu$ M AgNP-PVP, AgNP-CTAB and AgNO<sub>3</sub>; shown pictures represent maximum intensity projection over 30-40 scans in "Z" dimension; bar = 282.6  $\mu$ m. (B) Total  $O_2^{-}$  content in roots measured as total intensity of Z scan  $\pm$  SD.

## Detection of $O_2^{-}$



**Figure 7.**  $O_2^{-}$  detection in detection in control leaves of tobacco seedlings and leaves treated with 100  $\mu$ M AgNP-PVP, AgNP-CTAB and AgNO<sub>3</sub>; shown pictures represent maximum intensity projection over 30-40 scans in "Z" dimension bar = 154.5  $\mu$ m. (B) Total  $O_2^{-}$  content in leaves measured as total intensity of Z scan  $\pm$  SD.

#### Detection of glutathione and cell viability



**Figure 8.** Glutathione detection and cell viability in control roots of tobacco seedlings and roots treated with 100  $\mu$ M AgNP-PVP, AgNP-CTAB and AgNO<sub>3</sub>; shown pictures represent maximum intensity projection over 30-40 scans in "Z" dimension bar = 282.6  $\mu$ m. (B) Total glutathione content and cell death in roots measured as total intensity of Z scan  $\pm$  SD.

### Detection of glutathione and cell viability





**Figure 9.** Glutathione detection and cell viability in control leaves of tobacco seedlings and leaves treated with 100  $\mu$ M AgNP-PVP, AgNP-CTAB and AgNO<sub>3</sub>; shown pictures represent maximum intensity projection over 30-40 scans in "Z" dimension bar = 154.5  $\mu$ m. (B) Glutathione content and cell death in leaves measured as total intensity of Z scan  $\pm$  SD.

## Conclusion

- even though both types of AgNPs induce oxidative stress causing cellular damage, those effects were more pronounced in treatments with positively charged AgNP-CTAB
- since effects of AgNPs differ to those of AgNO<sub>3</sub>, we can conclude that phytotoxicity of AgNPs goes through mechanisms that cannot be completely assigned to Ag<sup>+</sup> release

#### Literature

Biba, R; Matić, D; Lyons, D.M.; Peharec Štefanić, P.; Cvjetko, P.; Tkalec, M.; Pavoković, D.; Letofsky-Papst, I; Balen, B. (2020) Coating-Dependent Effects of Silver Nanoparticles on Tobacco Seed Germination and Early Growth. International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 21, 3441

Rico, C.M.; Majumdar, S.; Duarte-Gardea, M.; Peralta-Videa, J.R.; Gardea-Torresdey, J.L. (2011) Interaction of nanoparticles with edible plants and their possible implications in the food chain. Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry, 59, 3485–3498.

Tkalec, M.; Peharec Štefanić, P.; Balen, B. (2019) Chapter Six - Phytotoxicity of silver nanoparticles and defence mechanisms. Book chapter in Analysis, fate, and toxicity of engineered nanomaterials in plants - Comprehensive Analytical Chemistry. Volume 84, 2019, Pages 145-198.

Yan, A.; Chen, Z. (2019) Impacts of silver nanoparticles on plants: A focus on the phytotoxicity and underlying mechanism. International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 20, 1003.





Division of Molecular Biology, Faculty of Science, University of Zagreb

Prof. Biljana Balen, PhD Petra Cvjetko, PhD Assoc. Prof. Petra Peharec Štefanić, PhD Karla Košpić, mag. ing. biotechn. Prof. Mirta Tkalec, PhD **Center for Marine Research, Ruđer Bošković Institue** Prof. Daniel Mark Lyons, PhD

Faculty of Pharmacy and Biochemistry Prof. Ana-Marija Domijan, PhD

Institute of Physiology, Czech Academy of Sciences Mgr. David Vondrášek

Thank you! 😳



